## **DEADLINE 7A SUBMISSION**

## KENT FACILITIES LTD – RESPONSE TO EXA'S THIRD WRITTEN QUESTIONS

## PINS APPLICATION REFERENCE: TR020002

Responses are provided to all written questions directed to Kent Facilities Ltd ("KFL").

| Question            | Question to:                          | Question / SHP Response  |
|---------------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| Question<br>CA.3.19 | Question to:  Kent Facilities Limited | The Applicant's response to question CA.2.16 [REP6-index number to be allocated] states that:  "the Applicant believes that a voluntary agreement with Kent Facilities Limited would be dependent on an agreement with Stone Hill Park Limited with whom the Applicant has been seeking to reach an agreement with."  Is this Kent Facilities understanding of the position?  KFL Response  In responding to the ExA's question, it is necessary to separate out the two parts of the Applicant's statement.  Firstly, KFL agrees that, due to the nature of its interest in the land, a voluntary agreement would be dependent on an agreement with Stone Hill Park Limited ("SHP").  However, on the basis KFL has been kept fully informed by SHP as to the nature of discussions between SHP and the Applicant, KFL does not agree   |
|                     |                                       | voluntary agreement would be dependent on an agreement with Stone Hill Park Limited ("SHP").  However, on the basis KFL has been kept fully informed by SHP as to the nature of discussions between SHP and the Applicant, KFL does not agree that the Applicant has been making any meaningful efforts to reach an agreement with SHP.  The evidence submitted by SHP to the examination demonstrates that the Applicant has not complied with the DCLG Guidance related to procedures for the compulsory acquisition of land (2013).  KFL would refer the ExA to the extensive evidence submitted by SHP including, but not limited to, section 7 of SHP's Relevant Representations (Appendix 7 to SHP's Written Representations [REP3-025]), SHP's responses to the ExA's written questions CA.1.17 [REP3-303] and CA.2.17 [REP6-053], SHP's Written Summary of Oral Submissions put at the Compulsory Acquisition Hearing [REP5-031] and SHP's Written Summary |
|                     |                                       | of Oral Submissions put at the Need & Operations Hearing [REP5-029].  KFL fully maintains its objection to the application for development consent and the compulsory acquisition request included as part of the application.   |